



Ukraine Leaders Call U.S. Warmongers 'Liars'

Jan 31—What if the U.S. and the U.K. declared war, but nobody came? Day after day the U.S. and U.K. media post screaming headlines about the imminent Russian invasion of Ukraine. Today's edition includes the Daily Mail reporting that the U.K. government will announce moves to "target Russia's strategic and financial interests tomorrow," while Foreign Secretary Liz Truss ranted to Sky News: "Currently, the economic sanctions are fairly narrowly drawn, so we could only target companies with a direct involvement in destabilizing Ukraine. What we are looking to do is widen that so any company of interest to the Kremlin and the regime in Russia would be able to be targeted, so there will be nowhere to hide for Putin's oligarchs, for Russian companies involved in propping up the Russian state. That's what we are looking at doing this week."

This follows the open admission by the White House in a published rant on Jan. 25 by a "senior administration official" that U.S. sanctions are intended to "hit Putin's strategic ambitions to industrialize his economy."

There it is—bring down the Russian state, and stop Russia's industrialization. Hitler had a similar ambition, and it is hard not to recognize the comparison to today. That insanity resulted in the death of 73 million souls. There are major differences, of course—for one, the U.S., U.K., and Russia have nuclear weapons, and 73 million or more would likely be killed on the first day.

Nor is the U.S. hiding its intention to use nuclear weapons. Recall that U.S. Strategic Command chief Adm. Charles Richard said in February 2021 that nuclear war is no longer considered "unlikely," but is now "a very real possibility" due to the rise of China and Russia. On Jan. 25, 2022 U.S. Strategic Command announced the kickoff this week of "Global Lightning 22," "an annual command post exercise designed to train Department of Defense

forces and assess joint operational readiness across USSTRATCOM mission areas," that this year is being conducted "in coordination with U.S. Indo Pacific Command." Newsweek yesterday quoted Hans M. Kristensen, the director of the Nuclear Information Project for the Federation of American Scientists, that the exercise "includes practicing operations during a trans-/post-attack nuclear environment, including reconstitution, redirection and targeting of STRATCOM forces." In other words, it not only contemplates nuclear first use by one side or the other, but also continued nuclear warfighting after the initial exchange.

Then there is the "cheering on" of today's Nazis. A Fox News report (with help from AP) today runs the headline with kicker: Ukrainian Volunteer Forces Prepare To Fight Off Russian Invasion as U.S. Troops Deploy to Eastern Europe—More than 130,000 Ukrainian volunteers are on reserve to defend against a potential Russian invasion." Such heroic coverage leaves out the fact that the "volunteers" they interview are members of the neo-Nazi militia, condemned even by the Israeli government as fascists.

So what about the Ukraine government itself, and the Ukraine military? Today's "egg on your face" story comes from Reuters on Jan. 28, claiming that three "unnamed U.S. officials" had informed them that the Russian military buildup along the Ukraine border had "expanded" to include "blood products" and other medical supplies, which certainly shows (they say) that Putin is prepared for an "imminent" invasion, as CNN says White House spokeswoman Jen Psaki has insisted. Not so, said the Ukraine Defense Ministry in a Jan. 29 statement: "The Center for Operational Information commented on information spread in some media about the alleged accumulation of blood supplies by the Russian Federation in the troops near the Ukrainian borders.

First of all, it should be noted that this information, with reference to anonymous officials, was not confirmed by any official source from the relevant agencies of the partner countries. Monitoring and analysis of the current situation around the Ukrainian borders does not record such activities. This is evidenced by the exchange of information between intelligence services and foreign partners. Such information 'interventions' are an element of information and psychological warfare, the purpose of which is to provoke fear and panic in our society. The Operational Information Center urges not to disseminate unverified information from

anonymous sources and to use official data.”

Is this the nation we are to “defend” by going to war with Russia, and possibly also China?

We must assure the maximum possible viewership for the Jan. 22 Schiller Institute forum: “A Difference In Leadership: Can War with Russia Still Be Averted?” featuring Helga Zepp-LaRouche and First Deputy Permanent Representative of the Russian Federation to the UN Dmitry Polyanskiy, along with host Dennis Speed, LaRouche Organization expert Harley Schlanger, EIR Economics Editor Paul Gallagher, and Schiller Institute Representative at the United Nations Richard A. Black.

Stay in touch with the LaRouche Organization (202) 968-2893

PAID FOR BY THE LAROCHE ORGANIZATION
AND NOT AUTHORIZED BY ANY CANDIDATE OR CANDIDATE'S COMMITTEE