



Kyiv Is Losing, But NATO Says They'll Blow Up the World Before They Let Russia Win

May 28—As reports came in from the war front last week, indicating that, after taking the port of Mariupol, Russia is methodically advancing westward in the Donbass region and that Ukrainian forces have had to retreat, the British have let it be known, yet again, that they will not allow Russia to win the war—even if it means bringing the world to the brink of a nuclear showdown. British Foreign Secretary Liz Truss delivered a speech in Prague on Friday, May 27, in which she asserted: “We must ensure Ukraine wins this great fight for freedom,” adding that Ukraine was fighting not only for itself but for “freedom, sovereignty and the rule of law” in the Western countries. “If he [Russian President Vladimir Putin] succeeds in Ukraine, we will never feel safe again,” she said, according to a copy of the speech released by the U.K. Foreign Office, TASS reported. “We must respond with strength. Appeasement cannot be the answer.”

Prime Minister Boris Johnson, speaking to Bloomberg over the weekend, had to admit that Russia’s military progress in the Donbass was “I’m afraid, palpable.” He stated that it was therefore imperative that Ukraine’s Western allies send long-range MLRS rocket artillery systems to Ukraine, capable of striking deep into Russian territory. This dramatic escalation in weaponry would “enable [the Ukrainians] to defend themselves against this very brutal Russian artillery, and that’s where the world needs to go.... It’s absolutely vital we continue to support the Ukrainians militarily.”

The Biden administration has so far marched in lockstep with this kind of eyeball-to-eyeball provocative actions. As Col. Richard Black (ret.) stated in his dramatic remarks to the Schiller Institute’s May 26 “Dialogue among Experts: The Insanity of Politicians Threatens Nuclear War:”

“We have already assassinated a dozen [Russian] generals, and sunk the flagship of the Russian fleet.

On May 13, 2022, Steny Hoyer, the Democrat House Majority Leader, said that we are now at war with Russia. During her visit to Kyiv in May, Speaker Nancy Pelosi pledged to wage war ‘until victory is won.’ Retired General Breedlove, the former Supreme Allied Commander of Europe, and a close Biden military confidant, proposed landing U.S. forces and advancing two-thirds of the way through Ukraine to the Dnieper River. The U.K. has begun considering naval action to break Russia’s naval blockade in the Black Sea. This is an act which risks retaliation for the sinking of Russia’s flagship.”

Black continued: “Now, we’ve issued a gargantuan \$40 billion check, ensuring a dramatic escalation of this totally unnecessary war. Some politicians have even begun preparing Americans for a suicidal nuclear war. Republican U.S. Senator Roger Wicker said we may consider sending U.S. troops into Ukraine, and that he would not rule out launching a nuclear surprise attack—a first strike, in nuclear parlance. In other words, we should consider launching a Pearl Harbor-style surprise attack; one that would rain down nuclear bombs on the streets of Moscow and St. Petersburg, killing millions of innocent men, women, and children.”

And yet for all its ferocity, this war will not be won or lost in the Ukrainian theater. The key lies elsewhere.

In the Q&A session of an EIR-sponsored seminar in Berlin on March 2, 2006, Lyndon LaRouche was asked about regional conflicts such as Iran, and the strategy for stopping escalation to a nuclear war.

“We have to consider the realities of the world,” LaRouche stated. “First of all, we’re not dealing with an East-West conflict—that’s a different position. That’s not the problem. That’s artificial. What there is, is an attempt to maintain an empire. The empire has existed. We don’t have nation-states. We say nation-states, that nation-states negotiate, but that’s

not the way the world is run. The world is run by financial powers, a system which existed in Europe, which has been run by the British for years. And they still run it. They still coordinate it. That's your problem. The question is to create again, on this planet, the right of nations to have true sovereignty."

LaRouche continued: "You have to recognize that behind this whole thing, there's a player. The nations are being played. The conflict is not just between nations. The conflict is between the imperial power, sitting in London, or centered in London, which is still controlling much of the world. And if you don't break that power, if you don't break it, you're going

to get Hell.... Look ahead to the future, on things that we should be doing. But break the power that is centered right now in London! Otherwise, if you don't do that, you're going to get Hell on this planet, and all your negotiations are not worth anything."

On June 18-19, the Schiller Institute will hold an international conference to take up that challenge posed by LaRouche: to forge an international alliance to stop the danger of nuclear war by putting the London-centered financial system through bankruptcy reorganization, and building a new security and development architecture in its stead.

Stay in touch with the LaRouche Organization (202) 968-2893

PAID FOR BY THE LAROCHE ORGANIZATION
AND NOT AUTHORIZED BY ANY CANDIDATE OR CANDIDATE'S COMMITTEE